UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,633	07/06/2007	Omer Einav	414/05375	4237
67801 7590 08/10/2011 MARTIN D. MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRTSI, INC. P.O. BOX 16446			EXAMINER	
			THANH, QUANG D	
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/597,633	EINAV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUANG D. THANH	3771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>i</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement					
olemin(s) 1-07 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,,,						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Data	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to a method of rehabilitation of a patient's limb motion by exercising with two actuators using a same movement mechanism design.

Group II, claim(s) 15-19, drawn to a method of rehabilitation of a patient's first and second organs using one actuator.

Group III, claim(s) 20-22 drawn to a rehabilitation kit with a tip and a plurality of attaching means.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-26, drawn to a rehabilitation device with a motorized actuator and a programmed controller.

Group V, claim(s) 27-32, drawn to a method of rehabilitation of a patient's daily activities at home setting.

Group VI, claim(s) 33-41, drawn to a method of rehabilitation of two patients with passing information between two rehab devices.

Group VII, claim(s) 42, drawn to a rehabilitation system of two rehab devices with wireless data link.

Art Unit: 3771

Group VIII, claim(s) 43-50, drawn to a method of rehabilitation of a patient's limb motion with two actuators and engaging the actuators by a patient and a non-therapist.

Group IX, claim(s) 51-65, drawn to a rehabilitation system of two rehab devices in at least 3 degrees of freedom at different places of rehab with different operational settings.

Group X, claim(s) 66-67, drawn to a rehabilitation system of two rehab devices in at least 2 degrees of freedom at different places of rehab.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of group I, using a same movement mechanism design, is not shared in groups II- X. The special technical feature of group II, exercising two organs using the same actuator, is not shared by groups I and III-X. The special technical feature of group III, a tip and a plurality of attaching means, is not shared by groups I-II and IV-X. The special technical feature of group IV, a programmed controller, is not shared by groups I-III and V-X. The special technical feature of group V, exercising a patient's daily activities at home setting, is not shared by groups I-IV and VI-X. The special technical feature of group VI, passing information of two patients between two rehab devices, is not shared by groups I-V and VII-X. The special technical feature of group VII, a wireless link, is not shared by groups I-VI and VIII-X. The special technical feature of group VIII, engaging the actuators by a patient and a non-therapist, is not shared by groups I-VII and X. The special technical feature of group IX, at least 3 degrees of freedom at different places of

Application/Control Number: 10/597,633 Page 4

Art Unit: 3771

rehab with different operational settings, is not shared by groups I-VIII and X. The special technical feature of group X, at least 2 degrees of freedom at different places of rehab, is not shared by groups I-IX. Therefore, the respective groups lack the same or corresponding special technical features and do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Lack of unity of invention may be directly evident "a priori," that is, before considering the claims in relation to any prior art, or may only become apparent "a posteriori," that is, after taking the prior art into consideration. For example, independent claims to A + X, A + Y, X + Y can be said to lack unity a priori as there is no subject matter common to all claims. In the case of independent claims to A + X and A + Y, unity of invention is present a priori as A is common to both claims. However, if it can be established that A is known, there is lack of unity a posteriori, since A (be it a single feature or a group of features) is not a technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 3. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be

presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

- 4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

  Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

  Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUANG D. THANH whose telephone number is (571)272-4982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,633 Page 6

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/QUANG D THANH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3771